

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/552,138	07/10/2006	Nicolas Prigent	PF030060	7177
24498 Robert D. She	7590 11/23/2010 dd, Patent Operations	EXAMINER		
THOMSON L	icensing LLC	RUBIN, BLAKE J		
P.O. Box 5312 Princeton, NJ		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2457	
				-
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/23/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) PRIGENT ET AL. 10/552,138

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	BLAKE RUBIN	2457				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MCWTHS from the mailing date of this communication. I saliur to reply within the sale or vestende prior for reply will be placed for vested with property of the prop	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. tely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Oc	ctober 2010					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the	e merits is			
<i>;</i>	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	,					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 3-9 is/are pending in the applica	ation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•,					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(c) (FTO/SB/CE) Paper No(s)/Mall Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5) Nettor of Informal Petent Application 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/552,138 Page 2

Art Unit: 2457

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is in response to a communications filed October 6, 2010.
- 2. Claims 1 and 3-9 are currently pending. Claim 1 is currently amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filled under the treaty defined in section 35(1a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filled in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1 and 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by
 Hanson et al (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0098840, hereinafter Hanson).
- With respect to claim 1, Hanson discloses a device adapted to belong to a community of networked devices (paragraph [0089], lines 3-14), said device comprising: a provable identity and/or means for generating and/or obtaining a provable identity (paragraph [0145]);

means adapted to store information about devices of the community having trust relationships with said device (paragraph [0096], lines 1-6); means adapted to store information about devices not trusted by said device (paragraph [0096], lines 1-06);

means adapted to store information about devices of the community having had trust relationships with said device in the past but now not trusted by said device (paragraph [0218], lines 10-18);

Page 3

means for trust relationships synchronization (paragraph [0099], 12-20) with each device belonging to said community of network devices based on the stored information (paragraph [0099], lines 1-12).

- 6. With respect to claim 3, Hanson discloses the device according to claim 1, wherein the information about devices comprises the provable identity of said devices (paragraph [0096], lines 1-6).
- 7 With respect to claim 4, Hanson discloses the device according to claim 1, wherein said device is furthermore designed to store information comprising proofs received from other devices of the community that said device is trusted by other devices (paragraph [0104]).
- 8 With respect to claim 5, Hanson discloses the device according to claim 1, wherein said means for trust relationship synchronization comprise means to exchange information with other devices of the community about devices trusted and/or not trusted by other devices in the community (paragraph [0099], 12-20).

Art Unit: 2457

 With respect to claim 6, Hanson discloses the device according to claim 1, wherein said devices comprises:

a first object capable of containing identities of devices trusted (paragraph [0096], lines 1-6)

a second object capable of containing identities of devices trusted by said device (paragraph [0096], lines 1-6); and

a third object capable of containing identities of devices distrusted by said device (paragraph [0218], lines 10-18).

- 10. With respect to claim 7, Hanson discloses the device according to claim 6, wherein said device is able to modify the content of said first object and/or said second object and/or said third object as a function of information exchanged with other devices of the community (paragraph [0103], lines 7-17).
- 11. With respect to claim 8, Hanson discloses the device according to claim 6, wherein said first object and/or said second object and/or said third object are furthermore able to contain cryptographic material (paragraph [0123], lines 8-10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/552,138

Art Unit: 2457

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanson as applied to claim 6, in view of Fraser at al. (U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2003/0131232).
- 14. With respect to claim 9, Hanson discloses the device according to claim 6, but does not disclose that the first device is able to banish another device of said community if the identity of said device to be banished is contained in said first or second object of said first device.

However, Fraser, in an analogous art, discloses that a registration agent of a community may remove a member from the community (Fraser; paragraph 0066).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Hanson with the teachings of Fraser. The motivation to combine being to provide a security mechanism for the community by having the capability to remove a member of the community (Fraser: paragraph 0067).

Response to Arguments

15. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim1 and 3-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Application/Control Number: 10/552,138 Page 6

Art Unit: 2457

Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

a.	Schick et al	Pat. Pub.	20020065698
b.	Yeager et al	Pat. Pub.	2003/0028585
c.	Schmidt et al	Pat. Pub.	2003/0120948
d.	Ward et al	Pat. Pub.	2003/0163686

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BLAKE RUBIN whose telephone number is (571) 270-3802. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R: 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2457

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

11/12/10

/Rubin Blake/ Examiner, Art Unit 2457

/ARIO ETIENNE/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2457